



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/458,928	12/10/1999	MOHAMMAD PEYRAVIAN	P-4541.002	9487
24112	7590	06/04/2004	EXAMINER	
COATS & BENNETT, PLLC			WU, ALLEN S	
P O BOX 5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RALEIGH, NC 27602			2135	
DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/458,928	PEYRAVIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allen S. Wu	2135	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 March 2004.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                                   |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-10, 13-23, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nissl et al (hereinafter Nissl), US Patent 6,530,023, in view of Haber et al (hereinafter Haber), US Patent 5,136,647, and further in view of Stefik et al (hereinafter Stefik), US Patent 5,638,443

As per claims 1 and 14, Nissl discloses a means of time stamping a document (see for example; abstract) comprising a time stamp receipt including identifying data associated with said document and a time indication (see for example; col 4 ln 50-55), computing the age of the time stamp (see for example; col 2 ln 62-col 3 ln 6 and fig 7; the age of the time stamp is a calculation of the difference of the time specified and the current time. Nissl discloses use such a calculation to determine cryptographic binding). Nissl discloses that such time stamping is done in the computer through the use of a plug-in board or ASIC (see for example; col 3 ln 27-36). Nissl further discloses an outside agency for performing data protection. However Nissl is silent on the specific embodiment of using such an outside agency for performing said time stamping.

Haber et al, discloses a method for time stamping a document (see for example; col 2, ln 33-49) comprising of receiving time stamp receipt (see for example; certificate, col 3 ln 1-5) including identifying data associated with the document (see for example; identity of the author, col 4 ln 3-33) at an outside agency (see for example; TSA fig 1); obtain the current time (see for example; adding digital data signifying the current time, col 2 ln 59-66); and binding at said outside agency said identifying data (see for example; digital document, col 2 ln 61-66) and a time indication (see for example; adding digital data signifying the current time) using a cryptographic binding scheme (see for example; applying the agency's cryptographic signature scheme, col 2 ln 66-67 and col 3 ln 1-5).

Nissl further discloses such "current time" being received from an external source (see for example; fig 4 and col 2 ln 62-col 3 ln 6). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized such time stamping being done by an outside agency wherein the outside agency supplies the "current time" to validate the time indication (local time) before applying a cryptographic binding. By incorporating such verifying and binding at an outside agency, one would have recognized the increase in security by the use of a central third party as a witness to the binding and also a uniformity of protection by having all documents signed by a central trusted party. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Haber within the system of Nissl because it would have provided increased security and added uniformity.

As for receiving a time indication at an outside agency, Nissl discloses the document being time-stamped with the time of its creation (see for example; col 4 In 40-49) and that such time is validated before binding (see for example; col 2 In 62-col 3 In 6). Haber further discloses the outside agency being able to receive time-stamp receipts from authors/clients including a time indication (see for example; col 8 In 17-25). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized that such a combination results in the sending of the time indication to be checked at an outside agency.

As for creating an aged time stamp receipt at said outside agency by combining said identifying data, said time indication, and said computed age; Nissl further discloses combining the identifying data, said time indication, and an authentication code (see for example; col 3 In 6-10). Haber also discloses the binding of identifying data and time indication at an outside agency (see for example; applying the agency's cryptographic signature scheme, col 2 In 66-67 and col 3 In 1-5). However, the Nissl-Haber combination does not explicitly teach the further combining and binding of a computed age. Stefik further discloses computing the age and use of the computed age to synchronize clocks (see for example; col 29 In 1-21). When employing the time stamping means with two different clocks, one of ordinary skill in the art would have realized the need synchronize the clocks used for time stamping and further increase security by allowing one or ordinary skill in the art to compute the actual time of document time stamping as related to a specified time or time of creation of the document.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Stefik within the Nissl-Haber combination because it would have provided a means of synchronizing between different clocks and increased authenticity of the time stamp. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized that such a binding of the computed age is necessary in order to produce future synchronization between the two times of the different clocks.

As per claims 2 and 15, Nissl-Haber-Stefik discloses the claimed limitations above (see claim 1). Nissl further discloses transmitting the time stamp receipt and binding information to designated party (see for example; col 7 In 60-col 8 In 7). Haber further discloses transmitting said binding information to a designated party from the outside agency (transmits the certificate back to the author or by way of the administrative, col 5 In 4-16).

As per claims 3 and 16, Nissl-Haber-Stefik discloses the claimed limitations above (see claim 1). Nissl further discloses identifying data comprising a digital representation of at least a portion of said document (see for example; col 5 In 6-18). Haber et al further discloses the identifying data comprising a digital representation of at least a portion of said document at an outside agency (convert the digital document string to a unique number; col 3 In 6-24; document is hashed, col 6 In 1-15).

As per claims 4 and 17, Nissl-Haber-Stefik discloses the claimed limitations above (see claim 3). Nissl further discloses identifying data comprising a digital sequence derived by application of a deterministic function to at least a portion of said document (see for example; MD5, col 5 ln 7-12). Haber et al further discloses identifying data comprising a digital sequence derived by application of a deterministic function to at least a portion of said document at an outside agency (reduced digital size by means of a deterministic function, col 3 ln 6-24).

As per claims 5 and 18, Nissl-Haber-Stefik discloses the claimed limitations above (see claim 4). Nissl further discloses digital sequence is a hash value derived by application of a one-way hashing function to at least a portion of said document (see for example; MD5, col 5 ln 7-12). Haber et al further discloses digital sequence is a hash value derived by application of a one-way hashing function to at least a portion of said document being received by the outside agency (reduced digital size by means of a deterministic function which may, for example, be any one of a number of algorithms known in the art as "one-way hash functions", col 3 ln 6-24; document is hashed, col 6 ln 1-15).

As per claims 6 and 19, Nissl-Haber-Stefik discloses the claimed limitations above (see claim 1). Haber et al further discloses the time stamp

receipt further including an identification number associated with the document originator (the author whose system identification number is 172 in a 1000 member author universe, col 6 ln 8-15). Such document identification number provides for identification of the document originator, which is important when time-stamping through a central trusted authority where multiple users are seeking time stamping of documents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further include an identification number of the document originator within the Nissl-Haber-Stefik combination because it would have increased the authenticity of the time-stamp by allowing the outside agency to authenticate or associate an originator with the time stamp.

As per claims 7 and 19, Nissl-Haber-Stefik discloses the claimed limitations above (see claim 6). Haber et al further discloses the time stamp receipt further including a sequential record number (TSA generates a time stamp receipt which includes, for example, a sequential receipt number, col 4 ln 3-33). A sequential record number provides for a means of fixing the time stamp to an order relative to time and thereby increasing its authenticity through added security check of a correct sequential record number. When handling time stamping of a plurality of authors, one of ordinary skill in the art would have realized that such a sequential record number would have added organizational order to the issuing of time stamps. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further include a sequential record number within the

Nissl-Haber-Stefik combination because it would have increased the authenticity of the time-stamp.

As per claim 8 and 21, Nissl-Haber-Stefik discloses the claimed limitations above (see claim 7). Haber et al further disclose the step of validating said time stamp receipt at said outside agency (comparison of a number, col 4 ln 3-33). When using an outside agency, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized the need to validate time stamp receipts at the outside agency to ensure validity and verifiability of time stamps.

As per claims 9 and 22, Nissl-Haber-Stefik discloses the claimed limitations above (see claim 8). Haber et al further disclose the step of validating said time stamp receipt includes comparing (comparison of a number, col 4 ln 3-33), said identification number (author,  $A_k$ , col 4 ln 3-33) and sequential record number (TSA generates a time stamp receipt which includes, for example, a sequential receipt number, col 4 ln 3-33) with data maintained by the outside agency (comparison of a number of relevant distributed certificates, col 3 ln 3-33).

As per claims 10 and 23, Nissl-Haber-Stefik discloses the claimed limitations above (see claim 1). Nissl further discloses binding steps including encryption (see for example; col 3 ln 7-10). One of ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the applicant's invention would have realized such a cryptographic signature scheme comprises encryption of data using a key. Haber et al further discloses said binding step including the signing of a combination of said identifying data and said time indication using a digital cryptographic signature scheme at the outside agency (certifies the resulting separate time-stamped receipt with its own verifiable cryptographic signature col 5 ln 1-16). As for cryptographic binding of a digital representation of said age, Stefik discloses such a representation of said age as described above (see claim 1). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized the binding including the age in the Nissl-Haber-Stefik combination and further apply the cryptographic signature to the representation of said age.

As per claims 13 and 26, Nissl-Haber-Stefik discloses the claimed limitations above (see claim 1). Nissl further discloses binding steps including encryption (see for example; col 3 ln 7-10). Haber further discloses binding step including an encryption on a combination of said identifying data and said time indication using a secret key controlled by said outside agency (cryptographic public key scheme to be employed in this example (generally known in the field as the "RSA", signature scheme), col 6 ln 25-35 and col 7 ln 1-24; Haber does not explicitly say the private key is controlled by outside agency. However, the RSA signature scheme is well known in the art to have a public and private key pair. Only the signing party knows the private key. Therefore a secret key

controlled by the outside agency is to be inherent to the teachings of Haber).

Such key controlling by the outside agency is part of the process done by the outside agency disclosed by Haber and is rejected under the same rationale of claim 1.

3. Claims 11 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nissl et al (hereinafter Nissl), US Patent 6,530,023, in view of Haber et al (hereinafter Haber), US Patent 5,136,647 as applied to claims 1 and 19 above, and further in view of Schneier.

As per claims 11 and 24, Nissl-Haber-Stefik et al discloses binding at said outside agency said identifying data and said time indication using a cryptographic binding scheme as described above (see claim 1).

Furthermore, Haber teaches a secret key controlled by said outside agency (cryptographic public key scheme to be employed in this example (generally known in the field as the "RSA", signature scheme), col 6 ln 25-35 and col 7 ln 1-24; Haber does not explicitly say the private key is controlled by outside agency. However, the RSA signature scheme is well known in the art to have a public and private key pair. Only the signing party knows the private key. Therefore a secret key controlled by the outside agency is to be inherent to the teachings of Haber). However the Nissl-Haber-Stefik combination does not teach that the binding step includes computing a message authentication code on a combination of identifying data and said time indication using a secret key

controlled by said outside agency. A message authentication code is a key dependent one-way hash function. Schneier teaches the generation of message authentication codes with secret keys (IBC-Hash, page 457-459). Binding information together is a manipulation of digital data to achieve one representation of the combination of data. To compute message authentication code, one manipulates the digital data, through the use of one-way hash functions and keys, in such a way as to develop a representation of the combination of data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Schneier within the Nissl-Haber-Stefik combination because it would have provided a more secure form of binding information together. Message authentication codes are known to provide authenticity without secrecy since only someone with the identical key can verify the hash.

4. Claims 12 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nissl et al (hereinafter Nissl), US Patent 6,530,023, in view of Haber et al (hereinafter Haber), US Patent 5,136,647 as applied to claims 1 and 19 above, and further in view of Levine et al, US Patent 6,393,566.

As per claims 12 and 25, Nissl-Haber-Stefik discloses binding at said outside agency said identifying data and said time indication using a cryptographic binding scheme as described above (see claim 1). However, the Nissl-Haber-Stefik combination does not teach that the binding step includes

computing a hash value on a combination of identifying data and said time indication. Levine et al teaches the use of hashing algorithms to bind time indication information and identifying data (col 4 In 1-8). Binding the identifying data and time indication data is a manipulation of digital data. The use of hash algorithms to produce such a binding of data into a representation is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Levine within the Nissl-Haber-Stefik combination because it would have added another way of binding the information for the time stamp receipt. Hash algorithms are well known in the art to produce a secure fingerprint of data. Computing a hash value as part of the binding step increases the security of the time stamp from unwanted activity.

### ***Double Patenting***

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-8, 10, and 14-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,742,119. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each.

As per claim 1 of application, claim 1 of Patent claims similar means of receiving a time stamp receipt and computing the age of the time stamp receipt. As for creating an aged time stamp by combining said identifying data, said time indication, and said computed age and binding at said outside age agency said identifying data, said time indication, and a digital representation of said age using a cryptographic binding scheme to create an aged time stamp receipt, claim 1 of Patent further states signing said time stamp receipt at said outside agency. Signing a time stamp receipt from using a secret signature key is well known in the art to be a cryptographic binding scheme which binds information contained in the time stamp (e.g. identifying data associated with said document and a time indication) and creates a new "signed" time stamp receipt. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized that the signing step of the Patent anticipates the step of creating an aged time stamp receipt by combining and binding such information. Furthermore, signing with a key based on the computed age of said time stamp receipt associates the timestamp with an associated age of the timestamp. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have

realized such an association of the age of the time stamp to be inherent as a means of combining and binding the age to create an aged time stamp receipt.

Claims 2-9 of the instant application recites the same limitations as claims 2-9 of Patent.

As per claim 10 of the instant application, claim 1 of the Patent discloses a similar signing means using a digital cryptographic signature. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized that the signing step of the Patent anticipates the step of binding. Furthermore, signing with a key based on the computed age of said time stamp receipt associates the timestamp with an associated age of the timestamp. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized such an association of the age of the time stamp to be inherent as a means binding the identifying data, time indication, and said digital representation of said age.

As per claim 14 of the instant application, claim 10 of Patent recites similar mean of creating a time stamp receipt, transmitting said time stamp receipt, and computing the age. As for creating an aged time stamp by combining said identifying data, said time indication, and said computed age and binding at said outside age agency said identifying data, said time indication, and a digital representation of said age using a cryptographic binding scheme to create and

aged time stamp receipt, claim 1 of Patent further states signing said time stamp receipt at said outside agency. Signing a time stamp receipt from using a secret signature key is well known in the art to be a cryptographic binding scheme which binds information contained in the time stamp (e.g. identifying data associated with said document and a time indication) and creates a new "signed" time stamp receipt. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized that the signing step of the Patent anticipates the step of creating an aged time stamp receipt by combining and binding such information. Furthermore, signing with a key based on the computed age of said time stamp receipt associates the timestamp with an associated age of the timestamp. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized such an association of the age of the time stamp to be inherent as a means of combining and binding the age to create an aged time stamp receipt.

Claims 15-22 of the instant application recites similar limitations of claims 11-17 of the Patent.

As per claim 23 of the instant application, claim 14 of the Patent discloses a similar signing means using a digital cryptographic signature. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized that the signing step of the Patent anticipates the step of binding. Furthermore, signing

with a key based on the computed age of said time stamp receipt associates the timestamp with an associated age of the timestamp. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have realized such an association of the age of the time stamp to be inherent as a means binding the identifying data, time indication, and said digital representation of said age.

7. Claims 1-26 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 and 19-30 of copending Application No. 09458922 ('922 application). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

As per claims 1 and 14 of the instant application, claims 1 and 19 of the '922 application claims similar means of receiving a time stamp receipt and validating the time stamp receipt (computing the age). Such validation requires a computing the difference of the time specified and a current time. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that such a computation is inherently a computation of an age. Claims 1 and 19 of the '922 application further recites similar means of creating and binding to create a time stamp receipt.

Claims 1 and 19 of the '922 application does not explicitly recite such creating and binding means including a computed age. The age is being computed for validation of the time stamp receipt with a current time. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been able to bind such a computed age with the identifying data and time indication of the time stamp receipt. It would have been obvious to include the computed age into a time stamp receipt because it would have provided a more convenient and stronger authenticated means of validating the time stamp at a later time. By providing age in a time stamp receipt, future age would not have to be computed for future validation and authentication of the time stamp receipt. Further, having the age in a time stamp receipt allows one to authenticate the contents of the time stamp based on one more variable.

In further regards to claim 14, claim 19 of '922 application further recites similar means of creating a time stamp receipt and transmitting of the time stamp receipt. As for the outside agency performing certification, claim 19 of the '922 application further recites validating said time stamp receipt at outside agency.

Claims 2-7, 9-13,15-20, and 22-26 of the instant application recite similar limitations of claims 2-7, 8-12, and 20-30 of the '922 application.

As per claims 8 and 21, claims 1 and 19 of the '922 application further recites similar validating means.

***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-3, filed March 5, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-26 under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of a different interpretation of previous cited art.

***Conclusion***

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent 5,471,631, to Beardsley et al, discloses a time stamping system involving use of two clocks.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen S. Wu whose telephone number is 703-305-0708. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 703-305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen Wu  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2135



KIM VU  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100